

RECEIVED  
29 JUN 2009

Name

Tel.

E 662

Dear Sir Christopher

Do not, I pray, underestimate the contempt by which many M.P.s are held in the voters regard.

The abuse of so-called 'allowances' by so many M.P.s is but the tip of the iceberg. That abuse is easily grasped by the public. Yet the real cause of their anger is far deeper.

They feel M.P.s are cocooned in Westminster, blind and deaf to the real <sup>or concern</sup> anxieties of the people of England. Eighty five per cent of English voters are appalled by the mass and uncontrolled immigration introduced in 1997 by the government, without any mandate - immigration that, by relentless propaganda, the voters were frightened to even discuss publicly for fear of being labelled 'racist'.

Only by the efforts of a few establishment figures, such as Michael Howard at the last election, or Frank Field or Sir Nicholas Soames, have the shattering changes in our culture and the enormous social costs, been at least been, if inadequately, discussed. And only in a nervous, apprehensive fashion, as if the whole subject were too dangerous.

The huge body of M.P.s have been stupidly silent, cowardly, huddling in their safe cocoon in Westminster.

So, of course, you saw some of the results of

P.T.O

Parliament's total failure to represent the people, on the one topic - disgust at the scale of immigration - that unites the vast majority of English people - reflected in the massive recent European election results. The rejection of major party candidates, in favour of UKIP and BNP candidates.

Voters' disgust at the greed of a section of M.P.s, in grabbing 'allowances', is only the surface manifestation of their deeper contempt for this Parliament's blindness and deafness and failure to voice their distress about mass, uncontrolled immigration.

They feel their so-called 'representatives' are cowardly and useless - not worth the salary (or staff costs - £90,000 a year for what? to do what?) they now get.

I have been a parliamentary candidate myself - in 1966, when it was hard for a woman to get a 'safe', winnable seat. Now, I feel I should not have felt comfortable among such a bunch of cowards, driven by party whips.

Yours sincerely,

(Mrs) B. Dunmore

Sir Christopher Kelly,  
% House of Commons,  
Westminster.