

---

**From:** -  
**Sent:** 02 July 2009 09:35  
**To:** Inquiry  
**Subject:** FW: Sir Christopher Kelly Review

EG76  
ACK

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Kristi Parker  
**Sent:** 29 June 2009 20:25  
**To:** public@standards.x.gsi.gov.uk  
**Subject:** Sir Christopher Kelly Review

Dear Sir Kelly

The problems are clear. A new process is required. I am sure your Committee will propose policies and processes to resolve them satisfactorily.

However as a voter and a UK British National I have a concern confirmed by the debate ongoing in the House at present 7.55 29<sup>th</sup> June 2009.

**Which is that I do not wish to see our MP's demeaned nor reduced in stature through some emasculation of their responsibilities.**

They Have been selected by members of the public to represent us and to govern our country.

It seems to me that the PM is attempting to pre-empt your proposals. It also appears to me that there is a party political motivation for this proposed bill.

It is another attempt to make the current Government look as though it is in charge and managing business which it, clearly based on results, it is failing.

**May I strongly suggest that our MP's of all dimensions and beliefs should be trusted and respected and have none of their responsibilities reduced.**

**After all I think we all know what happened in general. It was simply that rather than vote themselves an appropriate salary they awarded an increased in their remuneration by increasing their expenses, with no real control and naturally they followed the system and took up the expenses to which they believed they were entitled.**

If Government had said that in the first interest then the public fury would have been squashed and it would not matter what they spent their money on.

In addition those that gave false claims would also not be in trouble because it would not have mattered.

However The Flipping of houses to get away with Capital gains tax which the general public are unable to avoid is questionable but again no doubt advised by their Financial advisors as best practise to save paying tax.

The whole mess is the result of Government not governing in a professional manner and this awful mess should never have happened.

02/07/2009

I wish you every success in developing a cast iron transparent process which will provide the answer and trust it does not reduce our MP's stature in any significant way.

Yours sincerely  
Ken Parker

An acknowledgement that you have seen or been informed of my thoughts would be appreciated.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Cable&Wireless in partnership with MessageLabs. (CCTM Certificate Number 2007/11/0032.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.